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Context

With the decline in Infant Mortality Rate (IMR) in India, the proportionate contribution of newborn deaths (deaths in the first month of life) has been increasing. Due to the high percentage of newborn deaths, India can only meet the Millennium Development Goal for child survival if it achieves substantial reductions in neonatal mortality.29

The National Rural Health Mission (NRHM) in India has set the objective of reducing IMR to 30 per 1,000 live births by 2012. Achieving this objective will require a reduction in newborn deaths of over 50 per cent in less than a decade.20 In order to assist the NRHM in making evidence-based decisions about which MNCHN interventions and approaches to adopt to meet its national objectives, the USAID-funded Vistaar Project facilitated an evidence review of selected interventions in South Asia. This paper provides highlights of this review.

Evidence Review Process

From over 20 interventions identified on community-based newborn care (NBC), the Vistaar Project team selected 11 for review by Indian technical experts. The main selection criterion used was that the interventions should have sound evaluation data that showed results at the outcome or impact level (e.g., improved newborn care practices). In addition, due to the need to identify lessons that have a good chance of working at large scale, interventions implemented in very small geographic areas (areas with fewer than 30 villages or a population less than 25,000) were not included in the review.

The Vistaar Project team prepared summaries of each intervention that included available data in the areas of effectiveness, efficiency, and expandability. These summaries were provided to the lead implementing organizations for their feedback and then shared with the expert reviewers (See Table 1). (These summaries are available on the IntraHealth website: http://www.intrahealth.org)

The Vistaar Project team worked with Indian Government officials and recognized experts to form a panel of experts in the area of community-based newborn care. The expert group included Government officials and representatives from NGOs, academia, donors, professional associations, and other sectors. (See Table 2)

Over 25 technical experts met over two days on September 26-27, 2007 to review the 11 selected interventions. The experts worked primarily in small groups to achieve the following:

- An analysis of the available evidence
- Determination of the key lessons learned about achieving impact in the area of newborn health
- Identification of a list of several important evidence gaps where additional knowledge is needed
- Development of a list of priority components for community-based newborn care programming in India

Lessons Learned

The experts identified lessons based on the available evidence and grouped them according to the categories of Behaviour Change Communication (BCC), Services, Workers, Supportive Supervision and Others. Within the categories they followed a voting process to prioritize these lessons and recommendations for Government programming. These lessons are listed in priority order within the category.

Behavior Change Communication (BCC)

- Interpersonal communication seems be to be the strongest form of BCC
- The evidence indicates that BCC efforts help deliver results under the various packages of interventions
- Actively involving the community leads to results; community-based groups are a good platform to deliver BCC messages

Maternal, Newborn and Child Health Services

- Strengthening and capacity building of existing Government systems and services has shown results
- Integrated Child Development Scheme (ICDS) and Ministry of Health & Family Welfare (MHFW) systems working together produces better results
- Community-based models should have referral mechanisms (e.g., emergency transport) for better results
- Community ownership/involve may require a focus on more than newborn care (broader health issues)

Government Functionaries

- A human resources planning unit seems to be needed, at least from the national to district levels, to focus on issues such as planning, recruitment, capacity building, supervision and motivation
- Capacity needs to be built for problem solving and decision making, especially at block and sub-district levels in order to decentralize successfully
- For better results, support should be provided to all front-line
workers in newborn care (AWW: Aaganwadi Worker, ANM: Auxiliary Nurse Midwives and ASHA: Accredited Social Health Activist), not just to the ASHA  
■ Workers perform better with support, on-the-job training, job aids and monitoring to retain their skills  
■ Roles and responsibilities of community-level workers need clarification  
■ Providing supplies for home-based skilled birth attendants who focus on the newborn is needed for results  

Non-Government Community-Based Workers (CBWs)  
■ A trained newborn care provider is essential at childbirth, at institutional as well as home deliveries  
■ Performance-based remuneration is promising and should be tested further. Non-monetary incentives can also work  
■ There should be a newborn care team, not just one worker responsible; it is important to involve the Traditional Birth Attendants on the newborn care team so that they do not feel threatened by the CBWs  
■ All the interventions reviewed had a rigorous process of community worker selection that involved community consultation and a process to assess softer skill sets; these decisions should be decentralized  
■ CBWs need clear expectations and supportive supervision  
■ Use of a Village Coordination Committee (similar to a Village Health Committee) seems to be a good strategy for community mobilization and sustainability  
■ Use of community volunteers is effective for community awareness  
■ At the time of delivery results seem to be better if two trained people are present, one to care for the mother and the other to care for the newborn; the concept of working as a team should be promoted  

Supportive Supervision  
■ Supportive supervision was provided under most of the packages of interventions reviewed and appears to help produce results  
■ Supportive supervision seems especially important in working with CBWs and volunteers  

Cross-cutting Lessons  
■ Most non-Government pilots seem too intensive for Government adoption or scale up

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>干预名称</th>
<th>导入机构</th>
<th>重点区域</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ANKUR 项目 (34, 35)</td>
<td>教育协会, 行动与研究的社区健康 (SEARCH); 保存儿童, 美国; Bill &amp; Melinda Gates Foundation</td>
<td>实施了约87,000名人口的91个村庄和六个社区, 印度, 这个项目复制了社区为基础的新生儿护理策略, 这些策略涉及培训和支持一个社区为基础的工人, 以提供新生儿护理服务, 包括管理早产, 呼吸窘迫和肺部炎症。 (2001-2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>社区领导的倡议, 为儿童生存 (13, 24, 25)</td>
<td>列奥达甘地研究院, 医学科学, 塞夫拉格 (MGIMS); Aka 甘那特, 基金会, 美国</td>
<td>这个项目在Makwanpur地区实施, 印度, 女性工作者与村庄发展委员会和涉及分娩各委员会联合, 处理了与怀孕, 产后和新生儿健康相关的问题。实施了在Makwanpur地区覆盖了88,000人的地区。 (2003-2008)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Most of these pilots worked outside the Government system—this makes it difficult for the Government to replicate the model or use their lessons in some cases

District teams should use district data for prioritizing and making program decisions

Integration of child health with maternal health seems to be an effective strategy (using a continuum of care approach)

Leadership at the top-by an individual or institution with commitment was present in all the interventions and strong leadership appeared important for success

Coordination of community and facility-based workers can enable sharing workload and optimal service utilization

Community-level functionaries need capacity building to make decentralization work

Programs seem more successful when phased in over time; they should start simple, and gradually add more interventions

For the short term, the most important interventions plus geographic areas should be prioritized to achieve results

### Evidence Gaps

The technical experts identified the following key evidence gaps.

- There is evidence that investments in health infrastructure need to be matched with investments in human resources to yield results, but there is not sufficient information on the best balance between these two areas
- More information is needed about referral systems and the most effective ways to provide this support to primary level facilities
- More information is needed about how to engage Panjayati Raj institutions and about how Village Health Committees can contribute to newborn survival and focus on equity

Although NGOs and community-based organizations such as Village Health Committees and women’s groups are often used for community mobilization and health education efforts, there is little information on how to sustain their work and the feasibility of similar efforts supported through Government systems and programs

Many interventions have been successful at small scale, but more information is needed about how to implement similar efforts at large scale and which factors are most important to the successful scale up of an intervention

Most of the interventions did not document specific approaches to ensure that they reached the poor and most vulnerable and there is a need to build an evidence base for improving equity in newborn care programming

Although there is evidence that programs with a BCC component can produce results, it is not clear how much value the BCC component contributes, or which types of BCC are the most effective and efficient (e.g., more information is needed about specific issues like the optimal number of contacts and timing of contacts between mother and community workers to achieve desired behavior changes)

### Components for Optimal Community-Based Newborn Care Package

In 2005, as part of global efforts to share knowledge about efficient means of decreasing neonatal mortality, the international journal, The Lancet, published a landmark series of systematic reviews on neonatal care and survival. These reviews identified 16 major interventions of proven efficacy (implemented under study conditions)\(^1\). An important conclusion of this review was that neonatal survival is more dependent on skilled personnel than on the availability of technologies and commodities. The ER experts identified priority components for community-based newborn care programming in India using The Lancet review of neonatal interventions (Darmstadt et al, 2005) (See Table 3).

### Table 3: Interventions Recommended for Optimal Community-Based Newborn Care (Short Term Package – During the Next Five Years)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>1. Early and exclusive breastfeeding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2. Prevention and management of hypothermia (including ‘kangaroo’ mother care at home)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Clean delivery</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Tetanus toxoid immunization</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Community-based pneumonia case management</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Intermittent presumptive treatment of malaria in endemic areas*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Community-based resuscitation of newborns*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* There was consensus among experts on interventions 1 to 5. For interventions 6 and 7, although the majority of experts recommended their inclusion, there was not consensus on these intervention for the short term package.

### In Summary

The evidence review process is a useful approach to build consensus among experts and program leaders, inform program planning, and assist with decision making. The Vistaar Project experience shows that this process is most valuable when:

- It is conducted in an open, inclusive and participatory manner
- The focus is on learning lessons, not identifying the “best model”
- The audience is clear, and the evidence is reviewed from their perspective (i.e., in this case, the evidence was reviewed for application in Government Programming)

The Vistaar Project greatly appreciated the opportunity to be a part of this evidence review and is honored to join with the technical experts, implementing agencies, and Government program leaders and implementers who are using evidence to improve MNCHN program impact.
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